Graph Signal Processing: Filterbanks, Sampling and Applications to Machine Learning and Video Coding

Antonio Ortega

Signal and Image Processing Institute Department of Electrical Engineering University of Southern California Los Angeles, California

Dec. 18, 2015

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Acknowledgements

Collaborators

- Sunil Narang (Microsoft), Godwin Shen (Northrop-Grumman), Eduardo Martínez Enríquez (Univ. Carlos III, Madrid)
- Akshay Gadde, Jessie Chao, Aamir Anis, Yongzhe Wang, Eduardo Pávez, Hilmi Egilmez, Joanne Kao (USC)
- Marco Levorato (UCI), Urbashi Mitra (USC), Salman Avestimehr (USC), Aly El Gamal (USC/Purdue), Eyal En Gad (USC), Niccolo Michelusi (USC/Purdue), Gene Cheung (NII), Pierre Vandergheynst (EPFL), Pascal Frossard (EPFL), David Shuman (Macalaster College), Yuichi Tanaka (TUAT), David Taubman (UNSW).
- Funding
 - NASA AIST-05-0081, NSF CCF-1018977, CCF-1410009, CCF-1527874
 - MERL, LGE, Google
 - Sabbatical: Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS), UNSW, NII, TUAT

Outline

Introduction

Basic Concepts

Sampling

Application: Learning

Application: Image/Video Processing

Conclusions

Motivation

Graphs provide a flexible model to represent many datasets:

Examples in Euclidean domains



(a) Computer graphics 1 (b) Wireless sensor networks 2 (c) image - graphs

◆□ → ◆圖 → ◆画 → ◆画 → 一画

Motivation

Examples in non-Euclidean settings



(a) Social Networks ³, (b) Finite State Machines(FSM)

Graph Signal Processing

- Given a graph (fixed or learned from data)
- ▶ and given signals on the graph (set of scalars associated to vertices)
- define frequency, sampling, transforms, etc
- in order to solve problems such as compression, denoising, interpolation, etc

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 Overview papers: [Shuman, Narang, Frossard, Ortega, Vandergheysnt, SPM'2013]
 [Sandryhaila and Moura 2013]

Examples







Sensor network

- Relative positions of sensors (kNN), temperature
- Does temperature vary smoothly?

Social network

- Friendship relationship, age
- Are friends of similar age?

Images

- Pixel positions and similarity, pixel values
- Discontinuities and smoothness

Sampling

- Sample signal at discrete points in time/space
- Core tool in Digital Signal Processing (DSP)
 - From Analog to Digital
 - Digital to Digital
- Many pervasive applications
 - Digital audio (CDs, MP3s, etc)
 - Digital images/video (JPEG, MPEG, AVC, HEVC)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Sampling

- Sample signal at discrete points in time/space
- Core tool in Digital Signal Processing (DSP)
 - From Analog to Digital
 - Digital to Digital
- Many pervasive applications
 - Digital audio (CDs, MP3s, etc)
 - Digital images/video (JPEG, MPEG, AVC, HEVC)

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

▶ Key concept: signals can be recovered from their samples

Questions:

- What properties enable recovery?
- How to sample?
- ► How to reconstruct?

Graph Signal Sampling Examples







Sensor network

- Relative positions of sensors (kNN), temperature
- Measure temperature in a subset of sensors

Social network

- Friendship relationship, age
- Estimate interests for a subset of users

Images

- Pixel positions and similarity, pixel values
- New image sampling techniques

Sampling

Traditional DSP

- Samples dropped in a regular fashion, spectral folding (aliasing).
- Cutoff frequency \Leftrightarrow sampling rate.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Sampling

Traditional DSP

- Samples dropped in a regular fashion, spectral folding (aliasing).
- Cutoff frequency \Leftrightarrow sampling rate.



Answers:

What properties enable recovery? Signals are smooth, low frequency

- How to sample? Regular sampling
- How to reconstruct? Low pass filtering

Graph Sampling?

- Measure a few nodes to estimate information throughout the graph
- Reconstruct signal in whole graph



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Graph Sampling?

- Measure a few nodes to estimate information throughout the graph
- Reconstruct signal in whole graph



Questions:

- ► What properties enable recovery? Need to define frequency
- How to sample? No obvious regular sampling
- ► How to reconstruct? Filtering is needed

Outline

Introduction

Basic Concepts

Sampling

Application: Learning

Application: Image/Video Processing

Conclusions

Graphs 101



- Graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, E, w)$.
- Adjacency A, a_{ij} = a_{ji} = weight of link between i and j.
- Degree $\mathbf{D} = diag\{d_i\}$
- ► Laplacian matrix L = D − A.
- ► Symmetric normalized Laplacian L = D^{-1/2}LD^{-1/2}

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

► Graph Signal
f = {f(1), f(2), ..., f(N)}

Assumptions:

- 1. Undirected graphs without self loops.
- 2. Scalar sample values

Spectrum of Graphs

- Laplacian $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}'$
- Eigenvectors of \mathbf{L} : $\mathbf{U} = {\{\mathbf{u}_k\}_{k=1:N}}$
- Eigenvalues of L : $diag\{\Lambda\} = \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le ... \le \lambda_N$
- Eigen-pair system $\{(\lambda_k, \mathbf{u}_k)\}$ provides Fourier-like interpretation Graph Fourier Transform (GFT)

Graph Frequencies



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ ≧ のへぐ

Eigenvectors of graph Laplacian









▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶

- 2

Graph Transforms and Filters



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Desirable properties

- Invertible
- Critically sampled
- Orthogonal
- What makes these "graph transforms"?
 - Frequency interpretation
 - Vertex localization

Frequency interpretation: SGWT

▶ Spectral Wavelet transforms [Hammond et al. 2011]:

Design spectral kernels: $h(\lambda) : \sigma(G) \to \mathbb{R}$.



$$\mathbf{T}_{h} = h(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbf{U}h(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}^{t}$$

 $h(\mathbf{\Lambda}) = diag\{h(\lambda_i)\}$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

Analogy: FFT implementation of filters

Vertex Localization: SGWT

Polynomial kernel approximation:



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

K-hop localized: no spectral decomposition required.

Graph Filterbank Designs

- > Formulation of critically sampled graph filterbank design problem
- Design filters using spectral techniques [Hammond et al. 2009].
- Orthogonal (not compactly supported) [Narang and O., IEEE TSP June 2012]
- Bi-Orthogonal (compactly supported) [Narang and O., IEEE TSP Oct 2013]



These designs work on bipartite graphs

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

But not all graphs are bipartite...

- These designs work on bipartite graphs
- But not all graphs are bipartite...
- ► Solution: "Iteratively" decompose non-bipartite graph *G* into *K* bipartite subgraphs

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• Example of a 2-dimensional (K = 2) decomposition:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



• Example of a 2-dimensional (K = 2) decomposition:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



• Example of a 2-dimensional (K = 2) decomposition:



• Example of a 2-dimensional (K = 2) decomposition:



• Example of a 2-dimensional (K = 2) decomposition:



Example



Minnesota traffic graph and graph signal

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶

æ

Example



Output coefficients of the proposed filterbanks with parameter m = 24.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ○ = ○ ○ ○ ○

Outline

Introduction

Basic Concepts

Sampling

Application: Learning

Application: Image/Video Processing

Conclusions

Bandlimited signals

- In many applications, signals of interest are *smooth*.
- Smooth signals → lowpass in spectral domain.



Bandlimited signals

- In many applications, signals of interest are *smooth*.
- Smooth signals → lowpass in spectral domain.



Bandlimited signals in graphs

- ω-bandlimited signal: GFT has support [0, ω].
- ▶ Paley-Wiener space $PW_{\omega}(G)$: Space of all ω -bandlimited signals.
 - $PW_{\omega}(G)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^{N} .
 - $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \Rightarrow PW_{\omega_1}(G) \subseteq PW_{\omega_2}(G).$

Sampling graph signals

- Input signal: $\mathbf{f} \in PW_{\omega}(G)$.
- ▶ Sampling set: $S \subset V$, unknown set: S^c .
- Sampled signal: $\mathbf{f}(S) \in \mathbb{R}^{|S|}$.


Sampling graph signals

- Input signal: $\mathbf{f} \in PW_{\omega}(G)$.
- ▶ Sampling set: $S \subset V$, unknown set: S^c .
- Sampled signal: $\mathbf{f}(S) \in \mathbb{R}^{|S|}$.



- P1: Given S, maximum ω ?
- P2: Given ω , smallest set S?
- P3: Given ω and $\mathbf{f}(S)$, how to recover \mathbf{f} ?

 $f \in PW_{\omega}(G)$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─の�?



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

• If
$$\phi \in PW_{\omega}(G)$$
, then $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{f} + \phi \in PW_{\omega}(G)$.

•
$$\mathbf{f} \neq \mathbf{g}$$
 and $\mathbf{f}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathcal{S}) \Rightarrow trouble!$



• If
$$\phi \in PW_{\omega}(G)$$
, then $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{f} + \phi \in PW_{\omega}(G)$.

•
$$\mathbf{f} \neq \mathbf{g}$$
 and $\mathbf{f}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathcal{S}) \Rightarrow trouble!$

Lemma

Let $L_2(S^c) = \{\phi : \phi(S) = \mathbf{0}\}$. All signals $\mathbf{f} \in PW_{\omega}(G)$ can be perfectly recovered from S if and only if $PW_{\omega}(G) \cap L_2(S^c) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Sampling theorem)

All signals $f \in PW_{\omega}(G)$ can be perfectly recovered from their samples f(S) if and only if

$$\omega < \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{S}^c)} \omega(\phi) \stackrel{ riangle}{=} \omega_c(\mathcal{S})$$

We call $\omega_c(S)$ the true cutoff frequency.

The cutoff frequency depends on the size of S and topologies of G and S.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In order to optimize sampling set, maximize cut-off frequency

Cutoff frequency \equiv bandwidth of smoothest signal ϕ^* in $L_2(S^c)$.

Cutoff frequency \equiv bandwidth of smoothest signal ϕ^* in $L_2(S^c)$.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Cutoff frequency \equiv bandwidth of smoothest signal ϕ^* in $L_2(S^c)$.



Which choice of S leads to a higher cutoff frequency?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Cutoff frequency \equiv bandwidth of smoothest signal ϕ^* in $L_2(S^c)$.



Which choice of S leads to a higher cutoff frequency?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Compare $\phi^* \in L_2(\mathcal{S}^c)$ for both graphs.

• More cross-links \Rightarrow higher variation \Rightarrow higher bandwidth.

Cutoff frequency \equiv bandwidth of smoothest signal ϕ^* in $L_2(S^c)$.



Which choice of S leads to a higher cutoff frequency?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Compare $\phi^* \in L_2(\mathcal{S}^c)$ for both graphs.

• More cross-links \Rightarrow higher variation \Rightarrow higher bandwidth.

P2: Smallest sampling set

Formulation

Relax the true cutoff $\omega_c(S)$ by $\Omega_k(S)$, an approximation based on the *k*-th power of the Laplacian and solve:

Minimize |S| subject to $\Omega_k(S) \geq \omega_c$

Greedy Approach to get an estimate of $\mathcal{S}_{\rm opt}$ [Anis, Gadde and O., ICASSP 2014]:

- Start with $S = \{\emptyset\}$.
- Add nodes to S (from S^c) one-by-one that ensure maximum increase in $\Omega_k(S)$ at each step.
- \blacktriangleright Essentially this involves finding nodes that are "far" from ${\mathcal S}$ at each iteration

Note: Most alternative proposed methods require knowledge of the GFT

P3: Signal Reconstruction

- $C_1 = {\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x}(S) = \mathbf{f}(S)}$ and $C_2 = PW_{\omega}(G)$.
- ▶ We need to find a unique $f \in C_1 \cap C_2 \Rightarrow$ sampling theorem guarantees uniqueness.

Projection onto convex sets

 $\mathbf{f}_{i+1} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_2} \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_1} \mathbf{f}_i, \text{ where } \mathbf{f}_0 = [\mathbf{f}(\mathcal{S})^\top, \mathbf{0}]^\top.$



P3: Signal Reconstruction

•
$$C_1 = {\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x}(S) = \mathbf{f}(S)}$$
 and $C_2 = PW_{\omega}(G)$.

▶ We need to find a unique $f \in C_1 \cap C_2 \Rightarrow$ sampling theorem guarantees uniqueness.

Projection onto convex sets

 $\mathbf{f}_{i+1} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_2} \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_1} \mathbf{f}_i, \text{ where } \mathbf{f}_0 = [\mathbf{f}(\mathcal{S})^\top, \mathbf{0}]^\top.$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_2} = \mathbf{U}h(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}^{\top} \text{ sets } \mathbf{\hat{f}}(\lambda) = 0 \text{ if } \lambda > \omega.$$

$$h(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda < \omega \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda \ge \omega \end{cases}$$



P3: Signal Reconstruction

•
$$C_1 = {\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x}(S) = \mathbf{f}(S)}$$
 and $C_2 = PW_{\omega}(G)$.

We need to find a unique f ∈ C₁ ∩ C₂ ⇒ sampling theorem guarantees uniqueness.

Projection onto convex sets

 $\mathbf{f}_{i+1} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_2} \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_1} \mathbf{f}_i$, where $\mathbf{f}_0 = [\mathbf{f}(\mathcal{S})^{\top}, \mathbf{0}]^{\top}$.

• $\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_1}$ resets the samples on \mathcal{S} to $\mathbf{f}(\mathcal{S})$.

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{C}_2} = \mathbf{U}h(\mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{U}^{\top} \text{ sets } \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\lambda) = 0 \text{ if } \lambda > \omega.$$
$$h(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda < \omega \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda \ge \omega \end{cases}$$





► $\mathbf{P}_{C_2} \approx \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^p a_j \lambda_i^j \right) \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^\top = \sum_{j=0}^p a_j \mathcal{L}^j \rightarrow p$ -hop localized

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Outline

Introduction

Basic Concepts

Sampling

Application: Learning

Application: Image/Video Processing

Conclusions

★□> <圖> < E> < E> E のQ@

Learning: Motivation and Problem Definition

- Unlabeled data is abundant. Labeled data is expensive and scarce.
- Solution: Active Semi-supervised Learning (SSL).
- > Problem setting: Offline, pool-based, batch-mode active SSL via graphs

- How to predict unknown labels from the known labels?
- What is the optimal set of nodes to label given the learning algorithm?

Key Idea:



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Key Idea:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Construct a distance-based similarity graph.
 - Data points \rightarrow nodes.
 - Edge weights \rightarrow similarity.

Key Idea:



- Construct a distance-based similarity graph.
 - Data points \rightarrow nodes.
 - Edge weights \rightarrow similarity.
- Treat class indicator vectors as graph signals that are
 - Consistent with known labels.
 - Smooth on the graph.

Key Idea:



- Construct a distance-based similarity graph.
 - Data points \rightarrow nodes.
 - Edge weights \rightarrow similarity.
- Treat class indicator vectors as graph signals that are
 - Consistent with known labels.
 - Smooth on the graph.

Why does this work?

Connection to Graph Sampling

 Class membership functions can be approximated by bandlimited graph signals.



Summary of the Algorithm [Anis, Gadde, O., KDD 2014]



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

Results: Toy Example



Task Pick 8 data points for labeling.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Results: Toy Example

Task Pick 8 data points for labeling.



- ▶ 4 data points picked from each circle.
- Maximally separated points within one circle.
- Maximal spacing between selected data points in different circles.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Results: Real Datasets



- USPS: handwritten digits
- x_i = 16 × 16 image
- number of classes = 10
- K-NN graph with K = 10

•
$$w_{ij} = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$



- ISOLET: spoken letters
- ▶ $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{617}$ speech features.
- number of classes = 26
- K-NN graph with K = 10

•
$$w_{ij} = \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$



- Newsgroups: documents
- ▶ $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{3000}$ tf-idf of words
- number of classes = 10
- K-NN graph with K = 10

$$\blacktriangleright \quad w_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j}{\|\mathbf{x}_i\| \|\mathbf{x}_j\|}$$

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト の Q @

Why bandlimited signals?

- Data model:
 - ▶ Set of points $X = {$ **X**₁,...,**X**_n $},$ **X**_i $\in \mathbb{R}^d$ drawn *i.i.d.* from p(**x**).
 - Smooth hypersurface ∂S dividing \mathbb{R}^d into two parts: A and B.
 - Indicator vector for points in A: 1_A ∈ {0,1}ⁿ such that 1_A(i) = 1 if X_i ∈ A and 0 otherwise.



Asymptotic Result [Anis et al., ICASSP 2015]:

• Bandwidth $\omega(\mathbf{1}_S)$ function of max($p(\mathbf{x})$) along δS

Implications: interpretation of bandwidth

- If boundary passes through regions of low density:
 - Bandwidth of indicator is low
 - \blacktriangleright Sampling theory \Rightarrow fewer known labels required for perfect reconstruction.



Outline

Introduction

Basic Concepts

Sampling

Application: Learning

Application: Image/Video Processing

Conclusions

Applying Graph-Based Methods to Image/Video Coding

Main idea

- Images can be viewed as regular (4 connected, 8 connected) pixel graphs
- Making graphs irregular (different weights) allows us to capture different levels of correlation between pixels

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ □ のへで

- Two main ideas:
 - Use side information to signal discontinuities
 - Find "average" graphs as alternatives to KLT

Depth Image Coding [Narang, Chao and O., APSIPA 2013]

Block Diagram



Link-weights can be adjusted to reflect geometrical structure of the image.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Depth Image Coding [Narang, Chao and O., APSIPA 2013]



CDF 9/7



Graph 9/7





▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲目 ト ▲目 ト ▲ 回 ト ④ ヘ () ヘ

Depth Image Coding [Narang, Chao and O., APSIPA 2013]

- Edge detection: Prewitt
- Laplacian Normalization: Random Walk Laplacian
- Filterbanks: GraphBior 4/3 and CDF 9/7
- Unreliable Link Weight: 0.01
- Transform level: 5
- Encoder: SPIHT



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Residual Characteristics of Inter-predicted residuals

Main observation:

- Residual samples around block boundaries have higher energy
- > Occlusions, partial mismatches between reference and predicted blocks





Variance

Graph

- Developed tools to learn sparse graphs from data
- Can outperform KLT (robustness)

Lifting Approximation for Intra-predicted videos (Chao et al, submitted to ICASSP 2016)

- > Application on residual video frames extracted from HEVC standard
- Block size: 8 × 8
- ► Hybrid mode between Lifting and DCT: based on RD cost $SSE + \lambda \times Bitrate$
- Test Set { Foreman, Mobile, Silent, Deadline }



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ
Lifting Approximation for Intra-predicted videos (Chao et al, submitted to ICASSP 2016)

- Lifting/DCT hybrid with graph sampling approximates GFT/DCT hybrid approach
- Sampling (requires eigen-decomposition) can be well approximated with heuristic approach, e.g. greedy MaxCut.
- Online transform complexity against GFT: $O(N \log N)$ v.s. $O(N^2)$

			0			
Methods	GFT		Lifting with GMRF sampling		Lifting (Max Cut w/ re-connection)	
	\triangle PSNR (dB)	∆ rate (%)	\triangle PSNR (dB)	∆ rate (%)	\triangle PSNR (dB)	Δ rate (%)
Foreman	0.34	-7.28	0.29	-6.42	0.26	-5.77
Mobile	0.17	-1.46	0.10	-0.97	0.10	-0.96
Silent	0.22	-4.28	0.20	-3.88	0.18	-3.58
Deadline	0.37	-4.97	0.31	-3.98	0.30	-3.90

Bjontegaard Distortion-Rate against DCT

Outline

Introduction

Basic Concepts

Sampling

Application: Learning

Application: Image/Video Processing

Conclusions

Conclusions

- Extending signal processing methods to arbitrary graphs: Downsampling, Space-frequency, Multiresolution, Wavelets
- Many open questions: very diverse types of graphs, results may apply to special classes only
- Outcomes
 - Work with massive graph-datasets: potential benefits of localized "frequency" analysis
 - Novel insights about traditional applications (image/video processing)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Promising results in machine learning, image processing

To get started:

[Shuman, Narang, Frossard, Ortega, Vandergheysnt, SPM'2013] [Sandryhaila and Moura 2013]

References I



S.K. Narang and A. Ortega.

Lifting based wavelet transforms on graphs. In Proc. of Asia Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association (APSIPA), October 2009.



S. Narang, G. Shen, and A. Ortega.

Unidirectional graph-based wavelet transforms for efficient data gathering in sensor networks. In In Proc. of ICASSP'10.



S. Narang and A. Ortega.

Downsampling Graphs using Spectral Theory In In Proc. of ICASSP'11.



G. Shen and A. Ortega.

Transform-based Distributed Data Gathering. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing.



G. Shen, S. Pattem, and A. Ortega.

Energy-efficient graph-based wavelets for distributed coding in wireless sensor networks. In *Proc. of ICASSP'09*, April 2009.



G. Shen, S. Narang, and A. Ortega.

Adaptive distributed transforms for irregularly sampled wireless sensor networks. In Proc. of ICASSP'09, April 2009.



G. Shen and A. Ortega.

Tree-based wavelets for image coding: Orthogonalization and tree selection. In Proc. of PCS'09, May 2009.



R. Baraniuk, A. Cohen, and R. Wagner.

Approximation and compression of scattered data by meshless multiscale decompositions. Applied Computational Harmonic Analysis, 25(2):133–147, September 2008.



R.R. Coifman and M. Maggioni.

Diffusion wavelets. Applied Computational Harmonic Analysis, 21(1):53–94, 2006.

References II



M. Crovella and E. Kolaczyk.

Graph wavelets for spatial traffic analysis. In IEEE INFOCOMM, 2003.



I. Daubechies, I. Guskov, P. Schroder, and W. Sweldens.

Wavelets on irregular point sets. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A, 357(1760):2397-2413, September 1999.



W. Ding, F. Wu, X. Wu, S. Li, and H. Li.

Adaptive directional lifting-based wavelet transform for image coding. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 16(2):416–427, February 2007.



D. Jungnickel.

Graphs, Networks and Algorithms. Springer-Verlag Press, 2nd edition, 2004.



M. Maitre and M. N. Do,

"Shape-adaptive wavelet encoding of depth maps," In Proc. of PCS'09, 2009.



Y. Morvan, P.H.N. de With, and D. Farin,

"Platelet-based coding of depth maps for the transmission of multiview images," 2006, vol. 6055, SPIE.



E. Le Pennec and S. Mallat.

Sparse geometric image representations with bandelets. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 14(4):423–438, April 2005.



G. Karypis, and V. Kumar, "Multilevel k-way Partitioning Scheme for Irregular Graphs", J. Parallel Distrib. Comput. vol. 48(1), pp. 96-129, 1998.



A. Said and W.A. Pearlman.

A New, Fast, and Efficient Image Codec Based on Set Partitioning in Hierarchical Trees. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 6(3):243–250, June 1996.

References III



A. Sanchez, G. Shen, and A. Ortega,

"Edge-preserving depth-map coding using graph-based wavelets," In Proc. of Asilomar'09, 2009.



G. Valiente.

Algorithms on Trees and Graphs. Springer, 1st edition, 2002.



V. Velisavljevic, B. Beferull-Lozano, M. Vetterli, and P.L. Dragotti.

Directionlets: Anisotropic multidirectional representation with separable filtering. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 15(7):1916–1933, July 2006.



R. Wagner, H. Choi, R. Baraniuk, and V. Delouille.

Distributed wavelet transform for irregular sensor network grids. In IEEE Stat. Sig. Proc. Workshop (SSP), July 2005.



R. Wagner, R. Baraniuk, S. Du, D.B. Johnson, and A. Cohen.

An architecture for distributed wavelet analysis and processing in sensor networks. In IPSN '06, April 2006.



A. Wang and A. Chandraksan.

Energy-efficient DSPs for wireless sensor networks. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 19(4):68–78, July 2002.



S.K. Narang, G. Shen and A. Ortega,

"Unidirectional Graph-based Wavelet Transforms for Efficient Data Gathering in Sensor Networks". pp.2902-2905, ICASSP'10, Dallas, April 2010.



S.K. Narang and A. Ortega,

"Local Two-Channel Critically Sampled Filter-Banks On Graphs", Intl. Conf. on Image Proc. (2010),

References IV



R. R. Coifman and M. Maggioni,

"Diffusion Wavelets," Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal., vol. 21 no. 1 (2006), pp. 53–94



A. Sandryhaila and J. Moura,

"Discrete Signal Processing on Graphs" IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 2013



D. K. Hammond, P. Vandergheynst, and R. Gribonval,

"Wavelets on graphs via spectral graph theory," Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, March 2011.



D. Shuman, S. K. Narang, P. Frossard, A. Ortega, P. Vandergheynst,

"Signal Processing on Graphs: Extending High-Dimensional Data Analysis to Networks and Other Irregular Data Domains" Signal Processing Magazine, May 2013



M. Crovella and E. Kolaczyk,

"Graph wavelets for spatial traffic analysis," in INFOCOM 2003, Mar 2003, vol. 3, pp. 1848–1857.



G. Shen and A. Ortega,

"Optimized distributed 2D transforms for irregularly sampled sensor network grids using wavelet lifting," in ICASSP'08, April 2008, pp. 2513–2516.



W. Wang and K. Ramchandran,

"Random multiresolution representations for arbitrary sensor network graphs," in *ICASSP*, May 2006, vol. 4, pp. IV–IV.



R. Wagner, H. Choi, R. Baraniuk, and V. Delouille.

Distributed wavelet transform for irregular sensor network grids. In IEEE Stat. Sig. Proc. Workshop (SSP), July 2005.



S. K. Narang and A. Ortega,

"Lifting based wavelet transforms on graphs," (APSIPA ASC' 09), 2009.

References V



B. Zeng and J. Fu,

"Directional discrete cosine transforms for image coding," in *Proc. of ICME 2006*, 2006.



E. Le Pennec and S. Mallat,

"Sparse geometric image representations with bandelets," IEEE Trans. Image Proc., vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 423–438, Apr. 2005.



M. Vetterli V. Velisavljevic, B. Beferull-Lozano and P.L. Dragotti,

"Directionlets: Anisotropic multidirectional representation with separable filtering," IEEE Trans. Image Proc., vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 1916–1933, Jul. 2006.



P.H.N. de With Y. Morvan and D. Farin,

"Platelet-based coding of depth maps for the transmission of multiview images," in In Proceedings of SPIE: Stereoscopic Displays and Applications, 2006, vol. 6055.



M. Tanimoto, T. Fujii, and K. Suzuki,

"View synthesis algorithm in view synthesis reference software 2.0 (VSRS2.0)," Tech. Rep. Document M16090, ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11, Feb. 2009.



S. K. Narang and A. Ortega,

"Local two-channel critically-sampled filter-banks on graphs," In ICIP'10, Sep. 2010.



S.K. Narang and Ortega A.,

"Perfect reconstruction two-channel wavelet filter-banks for graph structured data," IEEE trans. on Sig. Proc., vol. 60, no. 6, June 2012.

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



J. P-Trufero, S.K. Narang and A. Ortega,

"Distributed Transforms for Efficient Data Gathering in Arbitrary Networks," In ICIP'11., Sep 2011.



"Video Encoder Based On Lifting Transforms On Graphs," In ICIP'11., Sep 2011.

References VI



I. Daubechies and I. Guskov and P. Schrder and W. Sweldens.

Wavelets on Irregular Point Sets. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A, 1991.



Gilbert Strang,

"The discrete cosine transform," SIAM Review, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 135–147, 1999.



S.K. Narang and A. Ortega,

"Downsampling graphs using spectral theory," in *ICASSP* '11., May 2011.



I. Pesenson,

"Sampling in Paley-Wiener spaces on combinatorial graphs," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, vol. 360, no. 10, pp. 5603–5627, 2008.



M. Levorato, S.K. Narang, U. Mitra, A. Ortega.

Reduced Dimension Policy Iteration for Wireless Network Control via Multiscale Analysis. Globecom, 2012.



A. Gjika, M. Levorato, A. Ortega, U. Mitra.

Online learning in wireless networks via directed graph lifting transform. *Allerton*, 2012.



W. W. Zachary.

An information flow model for conflict and fission in small groups. Journal of Anthropological Research, 33, 452-473 (1977).



S. K. Narang, Y. H. Chao, and A. Ortega,

"Graph-wavelet filterbanks for edge-aware image processing," IEEE SSP Workshop, pp. 141–144, Aug. 2012.



Discrete Signal Processing on Graphs. Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on, 61(7):1644-1656

References VII



S.K. Narang, A. Ortgea (2013).

Compact Support Biorthogonal Wavelet Filterbanks for Arbitrary Undirected Graphs. Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on



V. Ekambaram, G. Fanti, B. Ayazifar, K. Ramchandran.

Critically-Sampled Perfect-Reconstruction Spline-Wavelet Filterbanks for Graph Signals. IEEE GlobalSIP 2013

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ