



# Fast MIMO-OFDM Channel Gain Estimation for Mobile Velocity

Takahiro NATORI \*, Nari TANABE \*, Hideaki MATSUE \*, and Toshihiro FURUKAWA  $^{\dagger}$ 

\* Tokyo University of Science, Suwa, 5000-1 Toyohira, Chino, Nagano, 391-0292, Japan

<sup>†</sup> Tokyo University of Science, 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-0825, Japan

E-mail: \*{jgh10619@ed, nari@rs, matsue@rs}.suwa.tus.ac.jp, <sup>†</sup> furukawa@ms.kagu.tus.ac.jp

Abstract—This paper proposes a fast MIMO-OFDM channel gain estimation method using the Kalman filter with the colored driving source. The remarkable feature of the proposed method is the realization of the fast MIMO-OFDM channel gain estimation using only the Kalman filter without the concept of the AR system.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A Kalman filter based a MIMO channel gain estimation method consists of the two stages: (i) the parameter estimation of the AR (auto-regressive) system and then (ii) the channel estimation using Kalman filter algorithm [1]. However, this traditional method is greatly influenced by the estimation accuracy of the parameter of the AR system.

This paper, thus, proposes the robust MIMO-OFDM channel gain estimation method without the concept of the AR system for the mobile communication.



As shown in Fig. 1, the MIMO-OFDM channel gain estimation problem is to get the  $QPL_h \times 1$  channel gain vector  $\boldsymbol{h}(n)$  from the  $Q \times 1$  received signal vector  $\boldsymbol{y}(n)$  $[\boldsymbol{y}^{(1)}(n), \boldsymbol{y}^{(2)}(n), \cdots, \boldsymbol{y}^{(Q)}(n)]^T$  [2]: =

$$\boldsymbol{y}(n) = D(n)\boldsymbol{h}(n) + \boldsymbol{v}(n) \tag{1}$$

where D(n) denotes the  $Q \times QPL_h$  MIMO-OFDM signal matrix and  $\boldsymbol{v}(n)$  the  $Q \times 1$  observation noise given by the AWGN.

The purpose of the proposed method is to achieve the channel gain estimation using the canonical state space models including (i) astate equation composed of the channel gain, and (ii) an observation equation composed of the MIMO-OFDM signal, the channel gain, and the AWGN.

When the  $QPL_hL_p \times 1$  state vector is defined as  $\boldsymbol{x}(n) = [\boldsymbol{h}^T(n), \cdots, \boldsymbol{h}^T(n-1), \cdots, \boldsymbol{h}^T(n-L_p+1)]^T$ , we give the state equation:

[The state equation] 
$$\boldsymbol{x}(n+1) = \Phi \boldsymbol{x}(n) + G\boldsymbol{\delta}(n+1)$$
 (2)

where the  $QPL_hL_p \times QPL_hL_p$  state transition matrix  $\Phi$ is lower shift matrix, the  $QPL_hL_p \times QPL_p$  matrix  $G = [I_{QPL_h \times QPL_h}, O_{QPL_h \times QPL_h(L_p-1)}]^T$ , and the  $QPL_h \times 1$  driving source vector  $\boldsymbol{\delta}(n+1) = \boldsymbol{h}(n+1)$  is colored signal. (Here,  $I_A$ denotes the  $A \times A$  unit matrix, and  $O_{A \times B}$  the  $A \times B$  zero matrix.) The observation equation may be expressed from Eq.(1):

[The observation equation] 
$$\boldsymbol{u}(n) = M(n)\boldsymbol{x}(n) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}(n)$$
 (3)

where the  $Q \times QPL_hL_p$  observation transition matrix M(n) = $[D(n), O_{Q \times QPL_h(L_p-1)}]$ , and the  $Q \times 1$  observation noise vector  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(n) = \boldsymbol{v}(n).$ 





Fig. 2. SNR v.s. NMSE for the conv. and the prop. methods.



Procedure	Conv.	Prop.
1.	$2Q^2P^2L_h^2L_c^2$	0
2.	$Q^3 + Q^3 P L_h L_c$	$Q^{3} + Q^{3}PL_{h} +$
	$+2Q^2P^2L_h^2L_c+Q^2PL_h$	$Q^2 P^2 L_h^2 + Q^2 P L_h$
3.	$Q^2 P L_h L_c + Q P L_h (L_c + 1)$	$Q^2 P L_h$
4.	0	0
5.	$Q^3 P^2 L_h^2 L_c^2 + Q^2 P^2 L_h^2 L_c$	$Q^{3}P^{2}L_{h}^{2}+Q^{2}P^{2}L_{h}^{2}$
Total	$Q^3(P^2L_h^2L_c^2 + PL_hL_c + 1)$	
	$+Q^2(2P^2L_h^2L_c^2+3P^2L_h^2L_c$	$Q^{3}(P^{2}L_{h}^{2}PL_{h} + 1)$
	$+PL_hL_c + PL_h)$	$+2Q^2(P^2L_h^2 + PL_h)$
	$+Q(PL_hL_c + PL_h)$	



Fig. 3. Computational complexity in arithmetic operations.

### **III. SIMULATION RESULTS**

We compare the proposed method with the conventional method which is a modification of [1] for performance of the MIMO-OFDM channel gain estimation under the condition of TABLE I.

Fig. 2 shows the NMSE (normalized mean square error) [4] of the conventional and the proposed methods for the maximum Doppler frequency  $f_D = 50,350$ , and 1000[Hz] (corresponding to mobile velocity of 10,75, and 216[km/h] respectively). It is seen that the NMSE of the propose method is better than that of the conventional method over all range of SNR and mobile velocity.

TABLE II shows the computational complexity to calculate each procedure for both the conventional and the proposed methods. Fig. 3 also compares the complexity of each method for the delay path  $L_h$ . It is clear from TABLE II and Fig. 3 that the proposed method requires less computational complexity compared to the conventional method.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

This paper has presented the fast MIMO-OFDM channel gain estimation method using only the Kalman filter with the colored driving source. It is clear that the proposed method realizes simple and practical MIMO-OFDM channel gain estimation for various mobile environments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Komninakis, C. Fragouli et al. "Multi-Input Multi-Output Fading Channel Tracking and Equalization using Kalman
- [2]
- C. Kommandark, C. Tuggont, Lui, "Journ "Input Hours Output Landing Limited Tacking and Equination comparison of the second se
- [3]
- [4] B.-S. Chen and J.-F.Liao. "Adaptive MC-CDMA Multiple Channel Estimation and Tracking Over Time-Varying Multipath Fading Channels," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol.6, no.6, pp.2328 - 2337, June 2007